
SUMMARY OF CABINET / CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 12 August 2019

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISION ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 23 August 2019**

16 August 2019

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for City Services – 12 August 2019

Report 4 **Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions (Variation 8)**

Recommendation(s):

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Consider the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions;
2. Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised at; Benedictine Road, Broomfield Road (removal of double yellow lines), Beresford Avenue area, Cross Road, Fleet Street, Gaveston Road/Westhill Road area, Margeson Close/Barbican Rise, Stratford Street/Milton Street/William Arnold Close, Thornhill Road/Leicester Causeway.
3. Subject to recommendation 1, install restrictions as advertised at the Beaudesert Road/ Broomfield Road junction and review if any lengths of existing double yellow lines on Broomfield Road can be reduced further.
4. Subject to recommendation 1, approve the restrictions are not implemented on Dulverton Avenue/ Leyland Road, that give way markings are installed at the junction and monitoring is undertaken. In the event safety concerns relating to parking are

raised or the Police advise of issues relating to dangerous or obstructive parking occurring, new proposals be advertised.

5. Subject to recommendation 1, approve a shorter length of double yellow lines are installed on Shorncliffe Road (at its junction with Eastbourne Close) than originally advertised, approx. 1.5m shorter on the western side of the junction and 1 metre shorter on the eastern side of the junction.
6. Subject to recommendation 1, approve the installation of the proposed double yellow lines as advertised on Hockley Lane and Lyndhurst Croft, monitor to see if transference of parking occurs and if this occurs consider extending the double yellow lines to cover the whole length of Lyndhurst Croft.
7. Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposed restriction on Station Avenue is not installed and consultation is undertaken with local residents and businesses.
8. Subject to recommendation 1. approve the installation of the waiting restrictions as advertised on Wilsons Lane, include the request for an extension of the existing double yellow lines in the next waiting restriction review.
9. Subject to recommendation 1 approve a shorter length of double yellow lines are installed on Woodhouse Close extending 10 metres **into Woodside Close** for junction protection on the southern side of the road (even numbered side) and approx. 70 metres, as originally proposed, on the northern (odd numbered) side of the road. **In addition, the double yellow lines on Princethorpe Way, outside no 169, will be reduced by approximately 2.5 metres, therefore commencing at a point 5 metres north of the boundary between nos 171 and 169.**
10. Subject to considering the request, not an objection, to extend the proposed double yellow lines to be installed on Tile Hill Lane, approve an extension to the double yellow lines is advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
11. Subject to considering the request, not an objection, to extend the proposed double yellow lines to be installed on St Nicholas Close, approve that the parking is monitored once the proposed double yellow lines are installed and if parking issues arise an extension to the double yellow lines is advertised as part of a future waiting restriction review.
12. Subject to recommendations 1 to 11, approve that the proposed Traffic Regulation Order is made operational.

The above recommendation(s) were approved, along with the following additional recommendations (recommendation 2 having been amended by the deletion of 'Browns Lane' and recommendation 9 having been amended as indicated in bold):

13. In relation to the proposed waiting restrictions at the Beresford Avenue Area, in light of the concerns raised, the proposed restriction at the junction of Beresford Avenue/ Medina Road is not installed and the area is monitored.
14. In light of the issue raised, the proposed restriction at Browns Lane is removed from the proposed Traffic Regulation Order and the objection is heard at the next Cabinet Member meeting to be held on 9th September, 2019.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.